1	Attorney for Plaintiff STATE OF RHODE ISLAND		
2	EDMUND F. MURRAY, JR. (S.B. #3096)		
3	emurray@riag.state.ri.us		
4	RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL 150 South Main Street		
5	Providence, RI 02903 Telephone: (401) 274-4400 ext. 2401		
6	Facsimile: (401) 222-2995		
7	HALLED CTA		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al.,	Case No.: C 06-4333 PJH	
12		Related to MDL No. 1486	
13	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
14		ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER INTERROGATORIES	
15		TO ANSWER INTERROGATORIES	
16	V.		
17	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20		l, by and through the Attorney General, and Defendants	
21	Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc. and hereby agree to stipulate to an extension of time in which the State of Rhode Island shall provide answers to Defendant Hynix's		
22	interrogatories. Pursuant to a previous order of this court, Rhode Island's answers, as well as other		
23	states' answers, are due on October 7, 2008. After discussions with Steven Bergman, Hynix'		
24	counsel, Hynix has no objection to this Court granting Rhode Island an extension until November 7,		
25	2008 in which to answer Hynix' interrogatories. As a basis for said Stipulation Rhode Island states		
26	the following:		
27			
28	Contract Contract of the Contr	AC C N. COC 4222 P.H.	
	State of California, et al., vs. Infineon Technolog	ies, AG Case No. Cub-4333 PJH	

- 1			
1	1.	The information requested in Hynix' interrogatories relates to a period (1998-	
2		2002) when the State had changed from Rhode Island Financial and	
3		Accounting System (RIFANS) to Rhode Island State Automated Information	
4		Link (RISAIL);	
	2.	Many, if not all, of the State employees who were previously working in the	
5		Division of Purchasing, IT and/or Accounts and Control are either no longer	
6		employed by the State or are employed in different State departments;	
7	3.	Providing the requested information is time consuming in that the answers	
8		require a determination of what relevant DRAM was in equipment that was	
9		purchased by the Department of Administration and other state agencies;	
10	4.	Retrieving the information requested for purchases prior to RISAIL (i.e. prior	
11		to 2002) is labor intensive in that the information is contained in purchase	
		orders, invoices and statements. These documents are not segregated in any	
12		meaningful way with respect to the issues in this case. Therefore, personnel	
13		must read each document in order to determine if it relates to the purchase of a	
14		product containing DRAM. This is the most time consuming aspect of the	
15		State's search and retrieval of relevant information.	
16	5.	The State of Rhode Island is attempting to eliminate a five hundred million	
17		dollar (\$500,000,000) budget deficit. In doing so, many State employees	
18		(approximately 9%) will have left State service by October 1, 2008. This	
		makes finding additional personnel who are available to review documents	
19		impossible.	
20			
21	WHEREFORE, the State of Rhode Island and Defendants Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and		
22	Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc. agree and stipulate that the state of Rhode Island shall have an		
23	Extension until November 7, 2008 to Answer Defendant Hynix' Interrogatories.		
24			
	DATED: October 2, 2008		
25			
26			
27			
28	State of California, et a	al., vs. Infineon Technologies, AG Case No. C06-4333 PJH	

Case 4:02-md-01486-PJH Document 1916 Filed 10/02/08 Page 3 of 3

- 1			
1	Respectfully Submitted,		
2	State of Rhode Island,	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
3 4 5	By Its Attorney, PATRICK C. LYNCH ATTORNEY GENERAL	KENNETH R. O'ROURKE MICHAEL F. TUBACH STEVEN H. BERGMAN JANE Y. CHANG	
6			
7 8 9 10 11	By:/Edmund F. Murray, Jr./	By:/Steven H. Bergman/ Steven H. Bergman Attorneys for Defendants HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC. and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC and with respect to this Stipulation only.	
13 14	ORDER		
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROV October 2, 2008 DATED:	ED AND IS SO ORDERED. Judge Joseph C. Spero	
22 23		Judge Joseph Co.	
24		DISTRICTOR	
25			
26			
27			
28	State of California, et al., vs. Infineon Technologies, A	3 AG Case No. C06-4333 PJH	